



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/866,607	05/30/97	SOVIK	M TU997004

TERRANCE A MEADOR
BAKER MAXHAM JESTER & MEADOR
SYMPHONY TOWERS
750 B STREET SUITE 3100
SAN DIEGO CA 92101-3978

MM41/8806

EXAMINER

HOFF, M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2819

DATE MAILED:

08/06/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.
08/866,607

Applicant(s)
Sovik

Examiner
Marc Hoff

Group Art Unit
2819



☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 30, 1997

☐ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

☒ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 3

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 2819

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 5, line 21, "Hose" should be -- Host --.

Claims 2, 8, 13, 19, 24, and 30, line 2, "to" should be -- on --.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

3. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Clark, II et al.

Clark, II et al. teach a method of data compression, embodied as a programmed product stored on signal-bearing media, and performed with reference to data storage, comprising receiving data blocks, compressing the data blocks, evaluating the resulting compression, and ceasing application of that compression process if the compression fails to satisfy predetermined compression criteria (e.g., unsatisfactory compression ratio). Note especially Figure 2, and col. 3-4, of Clark, II et al.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.


Kato et al., Remillard, Seroussi et al., Clark, and Lantz teach resetting a data compression dictionary when compression performance falls below a predetermined threshold. Weng teaches

Art Unit: 2819

building a second compression table based on more recent data, to be used after the first table becomes full. Van Maren teaches inserting codewords into the input data which trigger a reset of the data compression dictionary. Iyer teaches "freezing" an adaptive Ziv-Lempel dictionary under appropriate compression conditions, then "unfreezing" a static dictionary when appropriate.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc Hoff whose telephone number is (703) 308-1677.

Marc Hoff
July 31, 1998


MARC S. HOFF
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2800